© Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Inc., 1983

0278-6060/83/0503-0235 \$18.50/0

Printed in the United Kingdom

234

FRANK J. KELLY

References

Fancher, R.E. Psychoanalytic Psychology (The Development of Freud's Thought), W.W. Norton & Co., New York, 1973.

Freud, S. The Ego and the Id (trans. Riviere, J.), W.W. Norton & Co., New York, 1960.

Freud, S., Beyond the Pleasage Principle (trans. Strachey, J.J., W.W. Norton & Co., New York,

Freud, S., Civilization and its Discontents, W.W. Norton & Co., New York, 1962.

Freud, S., On Autobiographical Study (trans. Strachey, J.), W.W. Norton & Co., New York,

Freud. S., The Interpretation of Dreams (trans. Strachey, J.), Avon Books, New York, 1965a.

Freud. S., New Introductory Lectures on Psychoanalisis (trans. Strachey, J.), W.W. Norton & Co., New York, 1965b.

Freud. S., Instincts and Their Vicissitutes. General Psychological Theory (Papers on Metapsychology) (trans. Baines, C.M.) Collier Books, New York, 1966a.

Freud, S., The Unconscious. Op. cit., 1966b.

Freud. S., Project for a Scientific Psychology Standard Edition of Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud (trans. Strachey, J.). I Hogarth Press, London, 1968a. Freud. S., The Interpretation of Dreams. Op. Vt., 5, 1968b. Freud. S., Instincts and Their Vicissitudes. Papers on Metapsychology. Op. cit., 14, 1968c.

Freud, S., The Unconscious. Papers on Meta sychology. Op. cit., 14, 1968d.

Freud. S., Beyond the Pleasure Principle. Off. cit., 18, 1968e.

Freud. S., Group Psychology and the Analysis of the Ego. Op. cit., 18, 1968f.

Freud, S., The Ego and the Id. Op. cit., 19/1968g.

Freud, S., On Autobiographical Study. Of. cit., 20, 1968h.

Freud, S., The Question of Lay Analysis, Op. cit., 20, 1968i.

Freud. S., Civilization and its Discontents. Op. cit., 21, 1968j.

Freud. S., New Introductory Lectures of Psychoanalysis. Op. cit., 22, 1968k.

Freud. S., An Outline of Psychoanalysis. Op. cit., 23, 1968m.

Freud. S.. The Question of Lay Analysis, W.W. Norton & Co., New York, 1969.

Freud. S., The Origins of Psychoanalysis (trans. Mosbacher, E. Strachey, J.), Basic Books, New York, 1977.

Freud, S., An Outline of Psychoanalysis (trans. Strachey, J.), W.W. Norton & Co., New York,

Freud, S., Group Psychology and the Analysis of the Ego (trans. Strachey, J.), W.W. Norton & Co., New York, 1979b.

Gill, M.M., Topography and Systems in Psychoanalytic Theory, Internat. univ. Press, New York,

Ricoeur, P., Freud and Philosophy: An Essay on Interpretation (trans. Savage, D.), Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, Cond., 1977.

Sulloway, F.J., Freud, Biologist of the Mind (Beyond the Psychoanalytic Legend), Basic Books, New York, 1979.

The orbitals of consciousness. A neurosyntergic approach to the discrete levels of conscious

experience JACOBO GRINBERG-ZYLBERBAUM

Facultad de Psicologia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, México D.F. 04510 MEXICO and

Instituto Nacional Para el Estudio de la Conciencia

A connection is traced from the behaviour of electrons existing only in particular locations (orbitals) around their nucleus, to discrete levels of conscious experience.

According to the syntergic theory, the structure of experience is the result of an interaction between an energetic field created by the brain (the neuronal field) and the energetic structure of space (the quantum field). Conscious experience appears when a central processor focuses this interaction. It is postulated that this focalization process can only arise in some discrete portions of the syntergic continuum, thus also activating discrete levels of conscious experience.

1. THEORETICAL INTRODUCTION

When the neuronal field (Grinberg-Zylberbaum, 1982) interacts with the quantum field (Capra, 1976) a hypercomplex energetic interference pattern is created (Grinberg-Zylberbaum, 1983). This interference pattern constitutes the energetic structure of perceptual experience. This energetic structure is not localized in space and, hence, its conscious appearance as an individual conscious experience requires a focalization operation. This focalization involves a new interaction between the interference pattern and the central processor responsible for activating a hypothetical directionality factor (Grinberg-Zylberbaum, 1981). The directionality factor stimulates a limited portion of the interference pattern, transforming its energetic structure into a qualitatively distinct perceptual experience. The purely energetic structure of the perceptual experience (the interference pattern in space) is thus transformed into the dimension of a vividly conscious experience. The central processor responsible the activity of the directionality factor is intimately

Self or Purusha (Ramana Maharshi, 1972; Vivekananda, 1975). related to the Self, or real observer, known in different traditions as the Being

information of high coherence, poor connections between parts and restricted complexity. In contrast, in an organization of high syntergy, each one of Zy**K**erbaum, 1981). compections between parts and unrestricted complexity (Grinbergits **B**ements contains high amounts of information of high coherence, rich en regetic organization in which each of its elements contains small amounts of 19 (2). The extreme of low syntergy in this continuum is characterized by an integaction, are located in a syntergic continuum (Grinberg-Zylberbaum, Hoth the neuronal field and the quantum field, together with their

en Egetic structure of experience appears throughout the syntergic continuum in a analogue, rather than in a discrete, form. Nevertheless, because the sysems of thought that have studied the appearance of consciousness experience. dischete levels of interaction between the central processor and a non-discrete existence of discrete levels in the creation of an interference pattern, or (Virekananda, 1975; Epstein, 1978; Aurobindo, 1971) describe discrete intelference pattern, thus giving raise to quantized levels of conscious leves of conscious experience, these empirical observations imply the Theoretically, it is possible to postulate that the pattern giving rise to the

conceiner, energised to hold some quantity of information. Take, for example, the risible information of the moon seen from the earth's surface. The minimal 2. HE ORBITALS OF CONSCIOUSNESS

In Race, the structure of the syntergic continuum is related to the varying of space is infinitesimal in dimension and contains coherent information relating all the universe. This hypothetical place in space would constitute the dinchishes until, at an infinite distance from all objects, the minimal quantum about the moon would be the minimal quantum of space for the moon at that volone of space capable of containing the maximum visible information standing the syntergic structure. Each location in space can be conceived as a abs action, the so-called minimal quantum of space, is of help in underdegree of concentration of the information contained in it. A mathematical existence of high syntergy — the Aleph (Borges, 1970). speafic distance. As the distance increases, the dimension of the quantum

Similar, if not identical considerations, can be made in regard to the convergent organization of the brain (Grinberg-Zylberbaum, 1978). In it, bipolar and later on in ganglionic cells, in which patterns of neuronal activity relatively dispersed information at the retinal receptors level, converges in

> neuronal algorithms high amounts of previously disconnected information. tertiary occipital cortex and later on in high integration polisensory structures, concentration of information takes place all along the primary, secondary and are concentrated in what can be called neuronal algorithms. The same from where abstractions and language processes concentrate in coherent Thus, a neurosyntergic continuum can be postulated in the brain.

all the neuronal interactions taking place inside the brain structure. This neuronal field with the quantum field. The neuronal field appears as a result of organization of space by the creation, expansion and interaction of the neurosyntergic functioning level of the brain. energetic field expands in space and incorporates in its structure the active The neurosyntergic organization of the brain unites with the syntergic

conscious experience. with the quantum field, but all of us see one level of this interaction as the emotional, tactile, aural and the other qualitatively distinct modes of our physical world that seems to surround us. This perceptual world is just one level of the neuronal-quantum field interaction. Other levels are the Nobody has ever recorded directly the neuronal field, nor its interactions if the quantum field but of the control of the cont CIA-RDP96

holographic image (Caulfield and Lu, 1970). If another frequency is used, the resultant recreation is not a clear three-dimensional image but a blurred and chaotic one. There must be a frequency congruence in order to obtain a holographic image. Something similar must happen before the central processor is able to activate a distinct level and quality of experience whilst interacting with the interference pattern associated with the energetic structure of our preeptual experience. Probably, the neurosyntergic level of the neuronal field has to correspond with some level of the syntergic so organization of space in order to create a coherent interference pattern. If, the for example, the syntergic level of space is greater than the neurosyntergic level of the neuronal field, the central processor would give rise to an image of remanishment with innumerable levels of energy patterns. What makes conscious constraint with innumerable levels of energy patterns. What makes conscious experience behave in a discrete fashion is that the interaction between remaining the same a similar syntergic level. The orbitals of consciousness and the same as similar syntergic level. The orbitals of consciousness and the same as similar syntergic level. The orbitals of consciousness are similar syntergic level. create the holographic interference pattern is needed to recreate the In holography, it is known that the same frequency of laser light used to

neuronal field corresponds with some syntergic level of the quantum field. An correspond to these permitted levels of interaction where the syntergy of the of consciousness will be treated later on in some detail. Here, it is enough to extreme example of this correspondence is unitary consciousness. This level

-00792R000700130001-6

Asy that, theoretically, it appears when the neuronal field is able to interact with the Aleph. In other words, when the neuronal field combines with the Guantum field at the highest syntergic level that the latter is able to reach.

In unitary consciousness, the neuronal and the quantum fields regain their Briginal nature by becoming one indivisible field.

THE CENTRAL PROCESSOR

Recent experimental evidence (Grinberg-Zylberbaum, 1983) indicates that the neuronal field is able to interact with a crystalline structure whose lattice dimensions are of the order of the wavelength of x-rays. This finding is the Pirst known indication relating to the physical characteristics of the neuronal Greld. It suggests that the neuronal field is able to vibrate at the frequency of nex-rays, but it does not say that this is the limit of the frequencies that the euronal field is able to reach.

If as was said before, unitary consciousness implies an identity between the

Anighest syntergic level. If this is so, the possibility of a non-physical nature for the central processor must be considered seriously.

The central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would then be able to the central processor as a non-physical entity would be able to the central entity would be able to the One not calculable for unitary consciousness, because the frequencies that can the reached by the quantum field are not bounded. If the central processor's function is to transform the purely energetic structure of experience into the conscious experience, the nature of the central processor must be such that it is to include the energetic structure of the interference pattern within itself, Anghest syntergic quantum field level (the Aleph) and the highest neuronal Vield level, the limits for the frequencies that the neuronal field is able to reach If, as was said before, unitary consciousness implies an identity between the

hon-physical reality, then it would be conceivable that it could transcend the Gimits of frequency in the physical universe. Gield reaches in the Aleph. In other words, if the central processor belongs to a

Colve. The same mystery arises in the kabalistic formulation which states that Femains a deep mystery that an energetic model (that states that an interaction sxists between the central processor and the interference pattern) is unable to discrete spheres of consciousness (sephirot) in which we human beings live God sends emanations from his being which illuminate and give life to the How and where a non-physical reality is able to interact with a physical one,

interaction between neuronal and quantum fields has a complex structure, the The central processor can be conceived as pure consciousness. When the

> central processor transforms this energetic structure into an image replete with forms and details. When the interaction reaches its maximum syntergiq

level and becomes homogeneous, the central processor experiences everyone thing as a reflection of itself and thus the experience that is activated is unitary consciousness.

Between each one of the qualitatively different modalities of perceptuate experience (sound, light etc.) and unitary consciousness, several orbitals consciousness exist. Still, consciousness remains unchanged in all the orbitals of consciousness exist. Still, consciousness remains unchanged in all the orbitals of consciousness exist. Still, consciousness. This content is determined by brained activity because the neuronal field is more stable than the quantum field, and the syntergic level (of the neuronal field) is determined by the particular and specific level of brain activity. Instead, the quantum field varies its syntergic level over the whole continuum that its syntergy can cover. In fact, the whole syntergic continuum of the quantum field coexists simultaneously in spaces of the central processor always remains the same because, belonging to non-physical reality, its activity does not depend on any syntergic level of that, in the absence of brain activity (after death), the central processor is still that the central processor is still the central processor. able to interact, but now not with the energetic structure of experience (the

the quantum fields), but only with the 'bare' quantum field.

The syntergic level with which, after death, the central processor is still about interact, will depend on at which level of brain activity the individual was able to reach. If the individual was able to experience unitary consciousness, has post mortem content of consciousness will be pure consciousness. The consciousness of Being does not need any interaction bwtween the central processor and the quantum field in order to exist.

What determines the level of consciousness in which a human being function.

tions is a question that needs consideration from both the psychological and physical aspects.

L. Q. Q. A. PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS
Prince Louis de Broglie (Beiser, 1968) confronted, in physics, the problem of the existence of discrete orbitals in the atom. His solution was extraordinarily

elegant. He stated that each electron has an associated wavelength and that does the electron not disappear from the orbital only when the perimeter of an orbital is an exact multiple of this wavelength,

Forbidden orbitals are those whose lengths are not an exact multiple of the

electron's wavelength. In these, the electron suffers a self interference wave process and hence is unable to exist.

6 In the realm of consciousness there are the self-interference wave

Fin the realm of consciousness, there are also self interference processes. Strictly speaking, there is only one energetic field and thus unitary conscious-Gless should be the most natural if not the only level of consciousness. In it, the michotomy between the idea of the existence of a physical versus a non-Glysical universe is dissolved in the perception of an all-encompassing and Global consciousness, in which everything is included. In other words, the Gluminated human being living in unitary consciousness sees everything as Gust different levels of the same consciousness. The rest of us do not live in Gritary consciousness because we are not pure enough and our neuronal fields are heterogeneous. Memories, repressions and fears, as energetic components Gollute the neuronal and quantum field interactions. We are the ones that Glivide and dichotomize the One consciousness into compartments and Glections.

Self interference processes appear in the realm of consciousness when the Divisions which we impose on the world resist unification by ourselves into Rew wholes. It is as if disperse experiences, each with its own life, became Antagonistic to one another and thus their unification in higher syntergic Chatterns and algorithms became impossible. What could be a new and more powerful syntergic level, degenerates into a low syntergic pattern in which conterference, lack of organisation and poor connections between parts between tof unity. To live in a 'forbidden' level of conscious-ches is the result of these self interference processes. The forbidden levels are the interfaces between orbitals and, in them, open energetic irradiation and Obsorption processes are the characteristic experiences. The 'sufferer' in a onterface feels himself to be a product of external influences which are beyond this control.

white race feels himself to be a product of external influences which are beyond spis control.

By I would like now to introduce two other considerations. One is related to the cymatic (Jenny, 1974) interactions between fields and structures, and the cymatic to the Zeeman effect (Beiser, 1968).

Fpatterns that result from an interaction of vibrating fields with structures, are structured. If a sound at some specific frequency interacts with a metallic plate on which fine powder is located, the powder acquires the form of a pattern. If the grequency of the sound is increased, the pattern becomes more complicated that maintains a basic structure. When the frequency reaches some threshold, the pattern becomes three-dimensional. If the quantum field is conceived as a structure with which the vibrating neuronal field interacts, creating cymatic patterns, the differing levels of consciousness could be related to discrete cymatic like patterns. On the other hand, in esoteric psychology, it is said that man has different energetic bodies (Wilson, 1974). These bodies are related to

discrete levels of consciousness. Perhaps, what is called 'energetic body' is a stable cymatic pattern. If this is so, some masters (Wilson, 1974) were able to visualize what is, for the rest of us, invisible cymatic-syntergic interactions. 4

I believe that man is in a constant state of evolution towards higher syntergic levels of functioning, pointing to unitary and the Being conscious ness. In this evolution, real suffering is a state of dichotomy and lack of unification. When some contents of experience are dissociated from the focalization action of the directionality factor of the central processor, the individual is internally divided and in a state of pain, tension and imbalance. If on the contrary, he is able to accept all his experiences as real and as a genuing part of himself, he permits his convergent codifiers to unify everything withing processor into an integrated and coherent self-conscious experience. The secret of achieving unification and high syntergic levels of consciousness intotal acceptance.

total acceptance.

We live in a very complex world in which we are stimulated by powerful information fields. The interaction of these fields create new levels of these fields create new levels of these fields.

In physics it is observed that, when an atom interacts with a magnetic field new spectral lines appear. This phenomenon is called the Zeeman effection (Beiser, 1968) and is similar to the new experiences that we were discussing before.

before.

In fact, when the laws of consciousness are compared with the behaviour of lementary particles, the feeling is that these two extremes touch each other lementary particles, the feeling is that these two extremes touch each other lementary particles, the feeling is that these two extremes touch each other lementary particles that such a complex phenomenon as consciousness behave in a similar way to atomic particles? The similarity implies that both realms are a manifestation of One reality.

Other examples of these simil are the radiation or absorption of energy from and to an atom when the electrons change from one to another orbital (Beiser, 1968) and similar energetic interchanges when a subject is in an interface between the orbitals of consciousness. During their quantum jumps? the electrons behave as if they were simultaneously in two orbitals (Beiser, 1968). In the realm of consciousness, something similar happens when a change in consciousness occurs and the mind of the observer is still in an interface between orbitals. The individual then feels as if he were simulateneously in two levels of consciousness and in none of them and, as we have said, during this process he is open to receive or radiate energy.

5. THE NATURE OF THE CENTRAL PROCESSOR

If the central processor is the Self, it is included within every process and

o or losing its capacity to observe them.

When a human being identified him **G** It is not affected by thought, emotion, pleasure or pain because it is part of its nature to be able to testify all these changes in mind's activity without changing thought of the mind. In fact, the central processor is the observer of the mind.

O the same time forming part of an immense and all-encompassing pattern of R relationships. To the question about the individual or collective nature of the One Self and the central processor in each one of us the One Centra Acentral processor, nobody can give a final answer, but intuition feels that the and all relative and temporal changes in mind activity and becomes part of a observer in each one of us is the One Observer, the self in each one of us the miraculous happenings standing out from a ground of empty fullness, and at Processor. kind of unchangeable silence from whence experiences appear and are seen as When a human being identifies himself with the Self, he transcends every

Dabide in any space, is atemporal and belongs to a non physical reality and has **R**no shape or form. To conclude, it is possible to postulate that the central processor does not

References

Cauffield, H.J., Lu Sun, The Application of Holography, Wiley Interscience, U.S.A., 1970.

Ccapra, F., The Tao of Physics, Fontana, U.S.A., 1976.

CEpstein, P., Kabbalah, Doubleday, New York, 1978.

Crinberg-Zylberbaum, J., The retrieval of learned information. A neurophysiological Beiset, A., Conceptos de Física Moderna, McGraw Hill, Madrid, 1968 OBorges, J.L., El Aleph, EMECE, Buenos Aires, 1970. OAurobindo, S., La Vida Divina, Editorial Kier, Buenos Aires, 1971.

convergence-divergence theory. J. Theoret. Biol., 56, 95-110, 1976.

Grinberg-Zylberbaum, J., El Espacio y la Conciencia, Editorial Trillas México, 1981.

Grinberg-Zylberbaum, J., Psychophysiological correlates of communication, gravitation and unity. J. Psychophys. Sys., 4, 227-256, 1982.

Grinberg-Zylberbaum, J., Extraocular vision. J. Psychophys. Sys., 5, 141-158, 1983.

Granty, H., Cynatics, Basilius Presse Basel, 1974.

Granty, H., Cynatics, Basilius Presse Basel, 1974.

eWilson, C., Lo Oculto, Editorial Noguer, Barcelona, 1974.

App Vivekananda, S., Raya Yoga, Kier, Buenos Aires, 1963, 1975

> Printed in the United Kingdom © Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Inc., 1983 Psychoenergetics, 1983, Vol. 5, pp. 243-252 0278-6060/83/0503-0243 \$18.50/0

Research notes and comments

-00792R000700130001-6

collapse Scientific explanation of wave vector

D.F. LAWDEN

that his approach to the problem of wave vector collapse is logically consistent. He fails to meet mycriticism that he has no scientific explanation of the sistent. In his reply (Villars, 1983) to my research note (Lawden, 1983) on the role observing instruments in quantum theory, Villars does little more than are that his approach to the broken of the control of the phenomenon.

to which the Schrödinger evolution aw applies, and (ii) a much smaller class of observing instruments whose behaviour is governed by other laws. A admits that he is unable to separate these classes by appeal to any physical criterion and falls back on the definition that an observing instrument is physical system which behaves as an observing instrument. However, such an instrument only behaves in this manner in very special circumstances, volument interacts with the specific type of class-(i) system it is designed to measure — in all other circumstances, it behaves like an orthodox classbelonging to a properly positioned incident beam, but its behaviour in all other circumstances (e.g. when it is heated) is that of a class-(ii) system. Very mysterious! observing instrument can be distinguished from all other physical systems, physical system, (i) a latger class comprising the generality of physical systems According to his interpretation of functions as required of such an instrument by the axioms of quantum theory. states that such an instrument Thus, to meet my charge hus, a polarizer is a class-(ii) system when it interacts with photographs Wat he fails to provide a principle by which ap is recognizable by the circumstance tha If the theory, then, there are two classes

such a theory is to provide an acceptable explanation of the world, it must babies born on a certain day of the year were more likely to become actors than eschew occult elements as far as possible. Thus, if it were established that all logically unassailable, this is not the only requirement of a scientific theory. If Even though Villars may be able to establish that this interpretation is